Maternal Factors Predicting Cognitive and Behavioral Characteristics of Children with Fetal Alcohol Spectrum Disorders
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ABSTRACT: Objective: To provide an analysis of multiple predictors of cognitive and behavioral traits for children with fetal alcohol spectrum disorders (FASDs). Method: Multivariate correlation techniques were used with maternal and child data from epidemiologic studies in a community in South Africa. Data on 561 first-grade children with fetal alcohol syndrome (FAS), partial FAS (PFAS), and not FASD and their mothers were analyzed by grouping 19 maternal variables into categories (physical, demographic, childbearing, and drinking) and used in structural equation models (SEMs) to assess correlates of child intelligence (verbal and nonverbal) and behavior. Results: A first SEM using only 7 maternal alcohol use variables to predict cognitive/behavioral traits was statistically significant (B = 3.10, p < .05) but explained only 17.3% of the variance. The second model incorporated multiple maternal variables and was statistically significant explaining 55.3% of the variance. Significantly correlated with low intelligence and problem behavior were demographic (B = 3.85, p < .05) (low maternal education, low socioeconomic status [SES], and rural residence) and maternal physical characteristics (B = 2.70, p < .05) (short stature, small head circumference, and low weight). Childbearing history and alcohol use composites were not statistically significant in the final complex model and were overpowered by SES and maternal physical traits. Conclusions: Although other analytic techniques have amply demonstrated the negative effects of maternal drinking on intelligence and behavior, this highly controlled analysis of multiple maternal influences reveals that maternal demographics and physical traits make a significant enabling or disabling contribution to child functioning in FASD.

Maternal Risk Factors for Fetal Alcohol Spectrum Disorder

Alcohol is a teratogen, and the quantity, frequency, and timing of prenatal alcohol use by a mother produces fetal alcohol spectrum disorders (FASDs).1–3 The pattern of drinking that is most harmful to the fetus is heavy episodic (binge) drinking.4–9 Hence, it is important that studies of human maternal risk factors for FASD include multiple measures of drinking that define the specific pattern of consumption. However, obtaining accurate drinking information from maternal reports has proven to be a substantial challenge.10–24 In essence, the more often a woman drinks in the prenatal period, the greater amounts she drinks (especially over short periods of time), and if drinking continues throughout gestation, the more likely a child is to have an FASD, particularly a severe form such as fetal alcohol syndrome (FAS) and partial FAS (PFAS).2,25–29

Maternal risk is not as simple as the alcohol alone. Multiple maternal cofactors of risk for FASD have been identified.5,17,20,30,31 Maternal risk has been found to vary in individual women based on childbearing variables such as maternal age, gravidity, and parity.5,8,9,32–35 Older women who frequently drink larger quantities of alcohol in an episodic fashion, who have been pregnant more (gravidity), and have had more children (parity) are more likely to bear a child with an FASD. FASD occurrence also varies by demographic variables such as socioeconomic status (SES).5,8,9,36–39 Although cases of FASD...
have been documented to occur in all strata, children with FASD are most likely to be born to women of the lower social strata.7–9,31,38,40 Finally, recent population-based studies have pointed to maternal physical variables as an important risk or protective factors for FAS: mother’s height, weight, and a summary measure, body mass index (BMI), are influential in determining outcome in the child. Lighter, shorter women, with a low BMI are overrepresented among mothers who have children with FASD. Larger women appear to be less likely to give birth to a child with an FASD.8,9,41,42 as alcohol metabolism is affected by body size and maternal nutrition likely plays a role in qualitative outcome.2,41,43–48

Multiple Correlation Studies of Maternal Risk for FASD

Maternal risk factor studies have seldom benefited from large samples of accurately diagnosed children with fetal alcohol spectrum disorder (FASD) or from population-based maternal risk data matched to the child data. Therefore, few multiple correlation studies have been carried out on maternal risk for FASD. A study of 25 mothers of children with fetal alcohol syndrome (FAS) and 50 controls43 reported that mothers of children with FAS were characterized as: higher in maternal age, predominantly black, higher gravity and parity, having higher scores on the Michigan Alcohol Screening Test (MAST), more drinking days during pregnancy, greater amounts of alcohol consumed on drinking days, a binge drinking pattern, and as drinking mostly beer. Using discriminant function analysis, 4 variables accounted for the majority of all variance in fetal damage: more drinking days, a high MAST score, high parity, and black race. Then, regression analysis indicated that, in the presence of these 4 factors, only 1 of which concerned alcohol, there was an 85% chance that a child would have FAS, and in their absence, a 2% chance of having FAS.45

Recently, a model assessing the multiple influences on the dysmorphology of children with FAS and partial FAS (PFAS) used sequential regression to conclude that maternal drinking measures (e.g., quantity and frequency of drinking) were powerful predictors of a child’s physical anomalies (R² = .30). Drinking was followed up in significance by maternal measures of socioeconomic status (SES) (R² = .24), maternal physical characteristics (height, weight, and head circumference) (R² = .15), and child-bearing variables (gravity, parity, and age at delivery) (R² = .06).49 A structural equation model (SEM) of these 4 categories predicted child dysmorphology, explaining 62% of total variance. In this model, drinking behavior was by far the strongest predictor of dysmorphology (β = 2.26, p < .05), even when all other maternal risk variables were included. Maternal SES variables were the only other statistically significant category (β = 0.87, p = .05), but maternal cofactors exclusive of drinking all contributed to associations with FASD dysmorphology (physical outcome).49

Studies Specific to the Intelligence and Behavioral Traits of Children with FASD

Although the above findings focused on a child’s physical traits, cognitive and behavioral functioning is also affected by prenatal alcohol exposure. Children exposed to high levels of alcohol prenatally have diminished verbal IQ skills, frequently characterized as difficulty in understanding social consequences of actions may result in inappropriate interactions with others.58,61 The Personal Behavior Checklist (PBCL)-36° and the Children’s Executive Functioning Inventory have been used to assess behavior problems associated with prenatal alcohol exposure. In addition, children with FASD frequently lack the ability to regulate their emotional responses and, for example, may speak or act before considering the social acceptability of their actions.56,70

Although maternal alcohol consumption adversely impacts cognitive functioning and behavior of a child with FASD,63,66,67,69,71–75 additional maternal factors may amplify or suppress the prenatal effects of alcohol on behavior and functioning. For example, low parental education and higher numbers of children at home are associated with lowered child IQ.76 and children born to older mothers who consumed alcohol during pregnancy display lower, full scale IQ scores.77 Because previous research indicates that patterns of prenatal alcohol use
alone do not fully explain the neurobehavioral traits of exposed children,78,79 this study examines how multiple maternal variables interact to influence intellectual functioning and behavior in children with FAS and partial FAS (PFAS).

**METHODS**

**The Model and Tests Used as Dependent Variables**

Causal modeling techniques are used to better understand influences on intelligence and behavior among children with fetal alcohol spectrum disorder (FASD). Using a structural equation model (SEM) approach, we attempt to determine which maternal variables are most influential on a child’s cognitive functioning and behavior at age 7 years. Three tests were used as the dependent variable in the SEM: the Raven Colored Progressive Matrices (CPM), the Test for the Reception of Grammar (TROG), and the Personal Behavior Checklist (PBCL)-36.

The Raven CPM measures nonverbal or fluid intelligence and has been shown to be more sensitive to the assessment of EF than tests of crystallized intelligence.52 The Raven CPM requires the participant to solve a series of problems by selecting a specific piece that most appropriately completes the missing portion of a given pattern. Patterns are shown to the child in sequence, with each pattern being more difficult than the one previous.80

A test of verbal intelligence, the TROG, is also used. It measures ability to understand grammatical constructs by asking88 the participant to select from a series of 4 pictures, the one that most closely matches the word or sentence spoken by the examiner.60 The TROG was selected to derive child’s receptive language abilities and measure understanding of grammatical constructs in a multiple-choice format. This test is culturally appropriate and sensitive for the South African student population, because it requires minimal verbal effort for the child, and literacy is an issue in the South African context of this study. Pairing this receptive language test with the Raven, approximate measures of verbal and nonverbal cognition were derived. All testing was completed by 3 Afrikaans-speaking psychometrists who, to ensure fidelity, were trained by one of the authors (C.M.A.) of this study. The PBCL-3669 was used to measure behavior. A questionnaire of 36 items completed by the child’s teacher, it reliably measures behavioral characteristics associated with FASD: academic performance, social skills, bodily functions, communication, mannerisms, emotions, and motor skills. A higher score indicates a greater number of problem behaviors. The children’s teachers were blinded and had no indication provided of whether the child was diagnosed with an FASD or not.

**Sample: Children with FASD and Normal Comparison Children**

This study uses data on the characteristics of children with fetal alcohol syndrome (FAS), partial FAS (PFAS), and comparison subjects without fetal alcohol spectrum disorder (FASD) attending the same schools in a town in the Western Cape Province of South Africa (SA). The data originate from 3 waves of population-based, in-school, FASD research in SA. All protocols and procedures were approved by the University of New Mexico Human Subjects Research Review Committee, the Research Ethics Committee of the University of Cape Town, and a single site assurance committee at the local municipality.

All first-grade children attending public schools with active consent to participate (slightly less than 3000 or 91% of enrolled students) were screened first for height, weight, and head circumference. All of the smaller children (≤10th centile on height and weight and/or head circumference) were advanced further in the diagnostic process along with randomly selected controls from the same classrooms. Dysmorphologists (blinded from the child’s history) examined all children for signs of FASD or other anomalies. Because all children in the sample reported here were advanced through the study together and received the same screening, diagnostic services, and testing, all necessary data were collected to determine a proper diagnosis: FAS or PFAS.

Measurements and observations of physical characteristics were recorded on a quantified dysmorphology checklist, where a high score indicates more features of FASD.28,51,81 Following the dysmorphology examination, children suspected of having FASD and randomly selected controls were administered the dependent variable tests by psychometrists blinded to the reason for entry into the study.57,61 and each participant’s classroom teacher completed the Personal Behavior Checklist (PBCL)-36. Biological mothers of all children (potential FASD cases and controls) were interviewed about maternal risks by Afrikaans-speaking, blinded interviewers. None of the mothers of children with FASD who were alive and contacted, refused to be interviewed, and few of the mothers of normal controls refused. Studies in this SA population have evidenced mothers to be quite forthright with sensitive information about health and FASD risk factors including details of alcohol use before, during, and after pregnancy.7–9 When the mothers were dead or could not be located (<6%), information was collected from knowledgeable collateral sources.

**The Maternal Questionnaire**

All mothers were administered identical questionnaires developed specifically for this population7,8 to reconstruct maternal traits before, during, and after gestation of the index child. Recent research indicates that retrospective assessment of prenatal alcohol consumption is more accurate than data collected during gestation in prenatal clinics.20,24,82 Alcohol questions are embedded in a context of general health status and dietary recall to ease sensitivities for accurate reporting.83 The context, quantity, and frequency of the mother’s current drinking were explored via a 1-week, day-by-day log, which became benchmarks for timeline follow-back questions83 regarding gestational drinking. Drinks were measured in standard
ethanol units that equal 0.5 ounces of absolute alcohol: 340 mL can/bottle of beer (5% ethanol), 120 mL wine (11% ethanol), 95 mL wine (13.5% ethanol), or 44 mL of distilled spirits (43% ethanol). Respondents viewed pictures of standard containers of local brands (of beer, wine, etc.) to calibrate the exact amounts consumed.85,86

Mothers were also measured for their height, weight, and head circumference at each interview. The body mass index (BMI) was calculated via the standard metric formula: weight in kilograms ÷ (height in meters)^2.

**Final Diagnosis Made by Case Conference**

Finally, a formal case conference was held for each child where clinicians from each of the study domains reviewed findings on all tests/exams for all children. Final diagnoses were made using revised Institute of Medicine criteria.27,28 Children with fetal alcohol syndrome (FAS) have a distinct pattern of facial anomalies, evidence of prenatal and/or postnatal growth retardation, evidence of deficient brain growth and/or cognitive and behavioral disorders, and evidence of prenatal maternal alcohol consumption. Children with partial FAS (PFAS) must have similar dysmorphology as children with FAS, either growth retardation or evidence of deficient brain growth (head circumference ≤ 10th centile or a complex pattern of cognitive and/or behavioral abnormalities), and direct or indirect confirmation of maternal alcohol consumption during pregnancy.27,28 Both FAS and PFAS are diagnoses made by the above criterion and by exclusion of other anomalies with similar phenotypes.28 The data set contains information on 561 children. Overall, 185 children were identified in the sample with a diagnosis within the fetal alcohol spectrum disorder (FASD) continuum: 134 children (72.4%) with FAS and 51 (27.6%) with PFAS. In addition, all 376 comparison children in the final sample were confirmed to not have an FASD or another recognizable anomaly. Some (25%) mothers of normal children reported consuming alcohol during the index pregnancy. As with all maternal trait data, all alcohol use data were used in the multiple correlation models in this study, which normalizes the distribution of drinking somewhat over the sample.

**Data Entry, Preliminary Analysis, and Analytical Scheme**

Data were entered via EPI INFO and transformed to SPSS and other formats for specific statistical applications and analytic techniques via programs as described below. Preliminary correlation analyses first examined the prediction of child neuropsychological traits from individual variables, which fall onto 4 categories of maternal characteristics: physical, demographic, childbearing, and drinking. Two structural equation models (SEMs) examined which maternal variables predict children’s neurobehavioral characteristics. Model 1 used only maternal drinking variables (Fig. 1), and Model 2 added other maternal traits as possible predictors (Fig. 2).

**Data Preprocessing and Assumptions**

Three of the variables measuring drinking quantity were trichotomized to reduce unacceptable skewness and kurtosis associated with low levels of drinking for the group of mothers whose children were not diagnosed with fetal alcohol syndrome (FAS). Current weekend drinking (number of drinks consumed Friday, Saturday, and Sunday) was coded as follows: 0 = “no drinks,” 1 = “1 to 6 drinks,” and 2 = “7 or more drinks.”

---

**Figure 1.** Final model of children’s neuropsychological functioning predicted from maternal drinking patterns. Unstandardized and standardized (in parentheses) parameter estimates. Correlated residuals are in Table 2. Residuals for measured variables are not shown. D* indicates disturbance (error) for the dependent latent variable. All residual variances for measured variables (E*) also are to be estimated (not shown). TROG, Test of Reception of Grammar; PBCL36, Personal Behavior Checklist-36.
Variables representing quantity of drinking on a typical day before and during pregnancy were coded as follows: 0 = “no drinks,” 1 = “1 to 4 drinks,” and 2 = “5 or more drinks.”

Varying amounts of data were missing on the measures, from about 5% for Hollingshead index to 36% missing for mother’s height. Logarithmic transformations were applied to several of the variables within the SAS PROC MI (multiple imputation) procedure to comply with multivariate normality assumptions of the multiple imputation process. These variables then were backtransformed to create 5 complete (imputed) data sets, each with N = 561. Impossible values (e.g., negative number of problem behaviors) were adjusted to fall within reasonable range. Relative efficiency was greater than .89 for all variables, indicating relatively little uncertainty regarding missing values. Although deviating from normality, these imputed data sets were considered appropriate for robust estimation in structural equation modeling. Analyses of the 5 imputed data sets produced negligible differences among them. Therefore, only the results from the first imputation are reported. Seventeen cases were identified through Mahalanobis distance as multivariate outliers with $p < .001$. Analyses with and without the outliers were not substantively different; so, the 17 cases were retained in reported analyses.

EQS structural equation modeling indicated that these data deviated significantly from normality, Mardia’s normalized coefficient $= 36.31$, $p < .001$. Therefore, maximum likelihood estimation was used in EQS modeling with Satorra-Bentler $\chi^2$ model tests and with adjustment of standard errors to the extent of nonnormality.$^{88}$
RESULTS

Key demographic variables are presented in Table 1. The sample is predominately low socioeconomic status (SES) with the average maternal education of formal education and 70% classified as unskilled laborers, mostly in the vineyards, orchards, and farms. Gravidity and parity are relatively high at 3.1 pregnancies and 2.9 children per mother. The average alcohol consumption values (Table 1) are moderate because of the merging of data from heavy drinkers through nondrinkers, and the large standard deviations indicate substantive variation in the sample. Description of specific quantity, frequency, and timing of maternal drinking by diagnosis in this sample is published elsewhere.8,9

Table 2 provides the Pearson product-moment correlations among all measures. In Table 2, the mother’s physical characteristics show fairly robust correlations with testing outcomes, r = .30 and r = .29 between child’s performance on the Test of Reception of Grammar (TROG) and the mother’s height and head circumference, respectively. For the mother’s demographic characteristics, correlations of r = .40 and r = .41 are produced between child’s performance on the Raven and TROG, respectively.

Table 1. Frequencies and Means of Variables Included in Structural Equation Models (n = 561)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>SD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mother’s educational level</td>
<td>7.0</td>
<td>3.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Occupations (%) (Hollingshead Occupational Codes)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Higher executives, major professionals, and owners of large businesses</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Business managers, medium businesses, lesser professionals, school teachers, and nurses</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Administrative personnel, small businesses, minor professionals, and school teachers without degrees</td>
<td>4.7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clerical and sales, technician, and little businesses</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Skilled manual (including crafts workers and artists)</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Semiskilled (factory worker or farm work who operates machinery)</td>
<td>8.8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unskilled (farm worker, tender of vines, unspecified, and unemployed)</td>
<td>70.1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Homemaker</td>
<td>8.4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Student, disabled, or no occupation</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gravidity</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>1.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parity</td>
<td>2.9</td>
<td>1.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Current use of alcohol, total drinks consumed on weekends (Friday to Sunday)</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>7.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total drinks consumed on weekend, %</td>
<td>85.9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Current use of alcohol, binging (3+) in week preceding interview, %</td>
<td>22.8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Use of alcohol in 3 months before pregnancy, quantity of drinks consumed per typical drinking day</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>3.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Binging (3+) in 3 months before pregnancy, %</td>
<td>30</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age during pregnancy</td>
<td>26.3</td>
<td>6.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Residence during pregnancy, %</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rural</td>
<td>43.4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urban</td>
<td>56.6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drank during pregnancy, %</td>
<td>49.1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Use of alcohol during pregnancy, quantity of drinks consumed per typical drinking day</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>3.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Binging (3+) during pregnancy, %</td>
<td>30.7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Child’s age (months)</td>
<td>86.5</td>
<td>9.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Child’s verbal IQ</td>
<td>81.0</td>
<td>13.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Child’s performance IQ</td>
<td>83.6</td>
<td>10.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Child’s PBCL Score</td>
<td>8.8</td>
<td>8.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

IQ, intelligence quotient; PBCL, Personal Behavior Checklist.
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and the mother’s education. Intercorrelations appear to be strongest for drinking measures during pregnancy and neuropsychological test performance and behavior, \( r = .28 \) and \( r = -.26 \) between the child’s scoring on the TROG and the Personal Behavior Checklist (PBCL)-36, respectively, and the drinking during pregnancy variable. Similarly, daily drinking during pregnancy shows intercorrelations of \( r = -.31 \) and \( r = .33 \) with TROG and PBCL-36 scores, respectively.

**Model 1: Predicting Neuropsychological Characteristics from Drinking Patterns**

The hypothesized structural equation model was similar in substantive content to the final model in Figure 1, with circles representing latent variables (factors) and rectangles representing measured variables. Lines with single arrows represent hypothesized direction of prediction (e.g., from maternal drinking characteristics to children’s neuropsychological characteristics).

Patterns of drinking behavior before and during the index pregnancy and at the time of interview were expected to predict children’s neuropsychological characteristics: nonverbal intelligence, verbal intelligence, and problem behavior.

The test of the hypothesized model indicated significant differences between hypothesized and observed covariance matrices, Satorra-Bentler \( \chi^2 \) (34, \( N = 563 \)) = 515.80, \( p < .001 \). The robust comparative fit index (CFI) of .80 reflects an unacceptable fit, as well. The model was significantly improved by adding two pairs of correlated residuals suggested by the Lagrange multiplier test, Satorra-Bentler \( \chi^2 \) difference (2, \( N = 563 \)) = 209.00, \( p < .001 \). Paths for correlated residuals were added between total weekend drinks and current binging, \( r = -.77, p < .05 \), and between binging before and during pregnancy, \( r = .35, p < .05 \).

Even this modified model produced significant differences between the estimated and observed covariance.
matrix, Satorra-Bentler $\chi^2 (32, N = 563) = 111.18, p < .001$. However, the fit was quite good, robust CFI = 0.98, Root Means Square Error of Approximation (RMSEA) = 0.07. A bivariate correlation was performed between common unstandardized parameter estimates from the hypothesized and final models, to support the addition of post hoc modifications. The $r(8) > .99, p < .001$, indicates a virtually perfect relationship between the hypothesized and final models.

Figure 1 shows unstandardized and standardized coefficients for the final model number 1. All the measures loaded significantly on their respective factors. The added correlations between residuals, although significantly enhancing model fit, do not add substantively to interpretation. They simply indicate that the strengths of some of the drinking relationships are not fully captured by the model.

As expected, in the simple Model 1, drinking behavior predicts these children’s neuropsychological characteristics ($B = 3.10, p < .05$). More problematic drinking behavior produces poorer child performance (e.g., more problem behaviors and lower intelligence). Despite the good model fit, however, only 17.3% of the variance in child’s characteristics is accounted for by maternal drinking.

**Model 2: Adding Nondrinking Variables to Prediction of Neuropsychological Characteristics**

Other maternal characteristics were added to account for more variance in children’s functioning. Figure 2 shows the 4 maternal factors (physical, demographic, childbearing characteristics, and drinking behavior before and during the index pregnancy and at the time of interview) expected to predict the children’s neuropsychological characteristics. Correlations are hypothesized between maternal physical and demographic characteristics, between maternal physical and childbearing characteristics, and between childbearing and demographic characteristics. Drinking behavior was hypothesized to correlate with maternal physical and demographic characteristics.

The test of the hypothesized model indicated significant differences between the hypothesized and observed covariance matrices, Satorra-Bentler $\chi^2 (143, N = 563) = 1048.57, p < .001$. The robust comparative fit index (CFI) of 0.86 reflects a poor fit, as well. The model was significantly improved by adding 2 pairs of correlated residuals suggested by the Lagrange multiplier test, Satorra-Bentler $\chi^2 \text{difference} (2, N = 563) = 212.17, p < .001$. The correlated residuals were the same as in Model 1. Even this modified model produced significant differences between the estimated and observed covariance matrix, Satorra-Bentler $\chi^2 (141, N = 563) = 562.19, p < .001$, but the fit was improved considerably, robust CFI = 0.93, RMSEA = 0.07.

A bivariate correlation was then performed between common, unstandardized parameter estimates from the hypothesized and final models, to support the addition of post hoc modifications. The $r(25) = .99, p < .001$, indicates a virtually perfect relationship between the hypothesized and final models.

Figure 2 presents unstandardized and standardized coefficients for the final model. All individual variables loaded significantly on their respective factors. The added correlations between residuals, although significantly enhancing model fit, do not add substantively to interpretation. These simply indicate that the strengths of some drinking relationships are not fully captured by the model.

All measured variables have significant loadings for their respective factors, but of the 4 composite factors, only 2 are statistically significant predictors. The strongest correlation with a child’s neuropsychological functioning is demography ($B = 3.83, p < .05$). Greater child functioning is associated with more maternal education, urban lifestyle, and higher socioeconomic status (SES). Maternal physical characteristics are also correlated significantly with child neuropsychological function ($B = 2.70, p < .05$). Mothers with higher functioning children are apt to be heavier, taller, and have larger head circumference. Once these factors are taken into account, however, neither childbearing characteristics nor drinking patterns significantly predict child’s neuropsychological functioning, $p > .05$. That is, the significant prediction evident from drinking pattern in isolation is removed when demography and maternal physical and childbearing characteristics are considered. However, in summary of this second model, more than half ($R^2 = 55.3\%$) of the variance in children’s neuropsychological functioning was accounted for by the combination of all 4 of the maternal characteristics.

A third model was tested in which the drinking construct contained only variables reflecting drinking during pregnancy. This model did not differ substantially from Model 2.

**DISCUSSION**

In this study, both a simple, alcohol-specific model of causation and a complex statistical model of multiple maternal traits influencing cognition and behavior among children with fetal alcohol spectrum disorder (FASD) have been presented; the strong performance of the complex, multivariable model underscores the prime importance of the postnatal environment on the development of children with FASD and lends credence to the promise of early intervention. The highest functioning children with fewer behavioral problems were born to women who are higher socioeconomic status (SES) (higher education, urban dwellings, and higher income) with more robust physical characteristics, which are generally indicative of better maternal health status (heavier, taller, and larger head circumference). Although other types of statistical analyses of material risk typically cite childbearing variables as influential on FASD risk, the combined variables of maternal age at delivery, gravidity, and parity were not significantly correlated with child neurobehavior at the age 7 years. Furthermore, even though the simple, alcohol only, structural equation model (SEM) model proved significantly
influential on child outcomes and explained 17.3% of the variance in child neurobehaviors, alcohol use was not significantly correlated with the cognitive skills and behavior of these children. Maternal risk for neurobehavioral problems caused by FASD is a complex phenomenon that is highly influenced by cofactors other than alcohol exposure alone. The final, complex model explains 55% of the variance in cognition and behavior of children with FASD and normal children.

These findings are important for understanding the major developmental role played by (1) postnatal or early childhood influences and (2) the characteristics of mothers on children with FASD. In the final model, maternal drinking variables are overpowered by SES and maternal physical variables in their effect on neurobehavior. This does not mean that prenatal alcohol consumption was not significantly negative for neurobehavior, for alcohol is an agent, which adversely impacts brain development and sets the stage for disability. However, although the heavy prenatal alcohol exposure predisposed children to relative impairment in dysmorphology and neurobehavior, maternal education, higher SES, urban residence, and good general health of the mother may provide a protective influence in both the prenatal and postnatal period that translates to higher intelligence and better behavior by age 7 years. The significant variables may reflect a general prenatal advantage of less severe physical brain impairment derived from adequate nutrition of the mothers and a postnatal advantage from mothers who are able to provide a more nurturing and stimulating environment for their children’s neurodevelopment. Pre- and postnatal advantages have mitigated the negative teratogenic effects of alcohol exposure. Such findings have broad implications. Better outcomes have been documented for prenatal alcohol-exposed babies in populations where these same maternal characteristics exist to a greater degree: Europe and the United States. These better outcomes may reflect the importance of the significant variables identified here: a mother with robust health status and the influence of higher SES environments. Conversely, this study may also highlight the negative impact of prenatal stress and postnatal disadvantage as contributors to increased neurological vulnerability of low SES children who are alcohol exposed.

Limitations of the Statistical Model and Interpretations of a Complex Reality

This statistical model is exactly that, a model. Although many possible, theory-backed influences on child behavior are included and statistically controlled, the model may not be completely accurate in the final picture that is provided. Other research methods and statistical analysis techniques have shown repeatedly that prenatal exposure to alcohol is a powerful force in limiting both a child’s physical and neurobehavioral capabilities. However, this model from a low socioeconomic status (SES), primarily weekend drinking population of unique genetic traits, de-emphasizes or masks the influence of prenatal alcohol damage on neurobehavior as measured by the age 7 years. Slightly more advantaged social and developmental circumstances have likely mitigated some of the teratogenic damage exerted by alcohol prenatally. So, even though this model underestimates the negative effects of prenatal alcohol exposure, it promotes a more complete understanding of maternal traits, which protect or remediate exposed children. Therefore, the promise of early intervention via intellectual and behavioral stimulation for fetal alcohol spectrum disorder (FASD) children is demonstrated here in a disadvantaged population. It seems likely the same variables are positively influential in higher SES populations. Furthermore, if a mother’s education, SES, and more robust physical status correlates with better outcomes here, then relative nutritional advantages also must be considered. Research in this population, the United States, and Italy has shown that mothers of children with FASD have lower average body mass index (BMI) than mothers of children without FASD. Do these data indicate that nutrition is an important factor in both the prenatal and postnatal period? First, the better nutrition of the mother both in her early life and the prenatal period, as in animal models, mitigate the teratogenic effects of alcohol on the developing brain. Furthermore, it is reasonable to assume that

Differences in Models of Maternal Risk for Physical and Neurobehavioral Traits

A previous publication from this same data set examined maternal risk factors for child physical characteristics, specifically alcohol-related dysmorphology. Dysmorphological traits were most significantly influenced by the quantity, frequency, and timing of alcohol consumption than by any of the other independent variables used in this study. Physical characteristics of fetal alcohol spectrum disorder (FASD) were most correlated with maternal drinking variables, which overpowered all others. Maternal demographics and physical characteristics were also significant categories predicting dysmorphology, but alcohol use dominated all other variables. The overall physical model, using these same 4 independent categories, explained 62% of the variance in child dysmorphology. Therefore, higher levels of maternal prenatal drinking clearly predict child dysmorphology, but the multivariate model of neurobehavioral determinants in study revealed that maternal socioeconomic status (SES) and physical health may be more influential on intellectual functioning and behavior by age 7 years than prenatal alcohol use alone. That is good news that is consistent with child development literature. A positive, healthy, and stimulating postnatal environment with a well-educated mother is significantly linked to improvements in child functioning, even when prenatal alcohol exposure has occurred. Better understanding of the specific ways that these traits are translated to the children is important for effective pre- and postnatal intervention.
better functioning children have been raised in homes for up to 7 years where better nutrition has aided brain growth and development.44,104 Early intervention with both cognitive/behavioral stimulation and nutritional supplementation are implicated for adequate brain development, which is not a controversial or unsubstantiated approach to child development.105–109

CONCLUSIONS

Alcohol is a teratogen that causes major harm to fetuses, which may last a lifetime. However, substantial individual variation is evident in both the physical and cognitive/behavioral outcomes of children. As presented here, the neurobehavioral traits of children vary significantly by the mother’s demographic (socioeconomic status [SES]) and physical status, whereas prenatal alcohol exposure is not a statistically significant factor. This provides objective data for optimism about potential development for children with fetal alcohol spectrum disorder (FASD) and supports the efficacy of early intervention. If correct, substantial remediation is possible for some of the cognitive and behavioral deficits of children with FASD. Further research into the complex relationships between alcohol use and maternal health, nutrition, social environment, biological, and genetic influences on child development is warranted.
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